From: Deen Arif, Faisal <<u>Faisal.DeenArif@energy.nh.gov</u>> Sent: Friday, August 11, 2023 10:41 AM To: James Bonner <<u>James.Bonner@libertyutilities.com</u>> Cc: Michael J. Sheehan [Liberty] <<u>michael.sheehan@libertyutilities.com</u>>; Tyler Culbertson [Liberty] (<u>Tyler.Culbertson@libertyutilities.com</u>) <<u>Tyler.Culbertson@libertyutilities.com</u>>; Dexter, Paul <<u>Paul.B.Dexter@energy.nh.gov</u>>; Schwarzer, Mary <<u>Mary.E.Schwarzer@energy.nh.gov</u>>; Mark Thompson [Consultant - RDAF] <<u>mark@forecon.com</u>>; Gil Hugh Peach [Consultant - RDAF] <<u>hsp@PeachandAssociatesLLC.onmicrosoft.com</u>> Subject: Qs related to DG 22-045 (RDAF issues)

Hello Jim,

Thank you very much for the explanation about the source data for decoupling calculations (including the calculation of Equivalent Bills and True-Ups) at our session on July 17, 2023. It was helpful.

During our meeting, Mike Sheehan mentioned that we can continue our discussion about additional data requirements. Below is a list of information that we believe would be useful to us (and the PUC) in understanding/verifying the true-up calculations. We believe the requested info below (with the exception of the request for software program codes) could have been provided in response to DOE Data Request Set 3 issued October 27, 2022.

- Please provide the source data for the equivalent bill (EB) calculation for Decoupling Year 4 (DY4 from September 1, 2021 to August 31, 2022) and DY3 (from September 1, 2020 to August 31, 2021). If this data is too voluminous, please provide data for January 2022 (i.e., one month of data in DY4) for the residential group and the commercial group along with all relevant data in the succeeding four months (i.e., the true-up period) for that one month that you deem necessary to get a complete picture of January 2022 data.
- 2. Please identify and provide all software program codes needed to use the data provided above to review/verify the EB calculations and the true-up calculations.
- 3. For DY3 and DY4, please provide both the data and a narrative explanation of various reasons for performing the true-up process (for example, the various reasons an estimated EB went up

after four months). Also, please provide a clear demonstration of the impact(s) of each reason on the target revenue, allowed revenue, and actual revenue. For the purpose of responding to this question, please use and/or modify the attached Excel shell as you deem fit.

4. For DY3 and DY4, and for each impacted rate class, provide both the data and a narrative explanation of the rate schedule re-classification and its impact on target revenue, allowed revenue, and actual revenue. For the purpose of responding to this question, please use and/or modify the attached Excel shell as you deem necessary.

Deen

603-271-2441

## DG 22-045 Exhibit 32 Attachment A

## Summary of Equivalent Bills (Ebs) True-Ups

Data Identification						
Month:	January 2022	_				
Rate class:	R-1, R-3, R-4, 0	G-51, G-53 etc.				
Frequency:	Monthly					
		_				
Actual Revenue		_				
Actual Revenue before True-up:	\$					
Actual Revenue after True-up:	\$					
		Impact on		Impact on		
		Target		Allowed		Impact on
		Revenue as %		Revenue as %		Actual Revenue
	Impact on	of Actual	Impact on	of Actual	Impact on	as % of Actual
	Target	Revenue after	· Allowed	Revenue after	· Actual	Revenue after
Reasons for EB Adjustments	Revenue (\$)	true-up	Revenue (\$)	true-up	Revenue (\$)	true-up
Existing EBs issued that should not						
have been issued		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Existing EBs NOT issued that						
should have been issued		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Customer ceases to be a Liberty						
customer		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
New customers		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Customer re-classification		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Other reasons		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!